## Spatial and temporal variability of Sea Surface Temperature (SST) and Chlorophyll (Chl-a) in the coast of Ireland

G.Casal

Marine Institute, Oranmore, Galway, Ireland gema.casal@marine.ie

## Analysis of SST temporal trends

In this study, a period of 34 years (January 1982 to December 2015) of SST at monthly resolution was used to analyse the trends of the defined study area as well as for each ICES Divisions surrounding the country. As time series observations measured sequentially in time, seasonal effects especially annual cycles, are often present in the data caused directly or indirectly by the Earth's movement around the Sun. The main features of many time series are trends and seasonal variations that can be modelled deterministically with mathematical functions of time. But, another important feature of most time series is that observations close together in time tend to be correlated (serially dependent) (Copertwait and Metcalfe, 2009). Weatherhead et al., (1998) proposed a method which encompasses both the natural variability of signal and the possible existence of serial correlations between observations. This approach for the detection of trends has been broadly applied to detect trends in environmental data (e.g. Zhang and Reid, 2010; Henson et al., 2013), but to date only two studies were found to assess changes in satellite SST (Good et al., 2007; Chollett et al., 2012). Trends were calculated in this study using generalized least squares (package 'nlme' R software) by fitting the model proposed by Weatherhead et al., (1998):

$$SST_t = \mu + S_t + \frac{\omega_t}{12} + N_t$$
 Eq. (1)

where SST<sub>t</sub> corresponds to the monthly mean averaged for the whole study area and for each ICES Division depending on the case,  $\omega$  is the linear trend of rate °C/year, and  $\mu$  is the offset at the start of the time series (for example, the offset of the monthly mean SST at January 1982), S<sub>t</sub> corresponds to the seasonal component and is described by:

$$S_t = \sum_{j=1}^4 \beta_{1,j} \sin \frac{2\pi j t}{12} + \beta_{2,j} \cos \frac{2\pi j t}{12}$$
 Eq. (2)

On the other hand,  $N_t$  corresponds to the monthly mean noise that is not represented by the linear trend model. It is assumed autoregressive of order 1 (AR-1 autocorrelation form) and is described by:

$$N_t = \phi N_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \qquad \qquad \text{Eq. (3)}$$

where  $\phi$  is the first-order autocorrelation and  $\varepsilon_t$  are independent and identically normally distributed random errors with a mean of zero and constant variance. The variability of  $\varepsilon_t$  (standard deviation  $\sigma_{\varepsilon}$ ) together with the autocorrelation parameter  $\phi$  and the number of years of data, *n*, was used to calculate the error of the trend estimate ( $\sigma_{\omega}$ ):

$$\sigma\omega = \frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{(1-\phi)n^{3/2}} \qquad \qquad \text{Eq. (4)}$$

This implies that the precision of the trend is a function of the magnitude of the unexplained variability in the data, the autocorrelation of the noise, and the length of the time series (Weatherhead et al., 1998). The number of years of data,  $n^*$ , required to distinguish a trend from natural variability using Eq.(1) was calculated by the method of Weatherhead et al., (1998), with a probability of detection of 90% and a confidence level of 95 % :

$$n^* = \left[\frac{3.3\sigma_{\varepsilon}}{|\omega|} \sqrt{\frac{1+\phi}{1-\phi}}\right]^{2/3}$$
 Eq. (5)



Figure 1 Observed SST (solid black line), model fitted (dashed red line) and linear trend ( $\omega$ ) in °C decade<sup>-1</sup> for each ICES Division

Table 1. Values of the model parameters that best allow the model to reproduce the monthly data for the whole study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of the study area as well as for each of
ICES Divisions. The units of the trend ( $\omega$ ) are in °C year <sup>-1</sup> . The significant trends are represented in bold.

Parameter	Study area		Vla Vlb		VIIa		VIIb		VIIc		VIId			
	Value	p-value	Value	p-value	Value	p-value	Value	p-value	Value	p-value	Value	p-value	Value	p-value
μ	12.3342	≤0.05	10.895	≤0.05	10.911	≤0.05	11.376	≤0.05	12.055	≤0.05	12.303	≤0.05	12.515	≤0.05
ω	0.026	≤0.05	0.028	≤0.05	0.030	≤0.05	0.036	≤0.05	0.023	≤0.05	0.020	≤0.05	0.035	≤0.05
β1,1	-40.688	≤0.05	-33.405	≤0.05	-26.736	≤0.05	-48.183	≤0.05	-29.367	≤0.05	-27.312	≤0.05	-44.391	≤0.05
β2,1	-50.434	≤0.05	-42.189	≤0.05	-37.387	≤0.05	-50.647	≤0.05	-43.699	≤0.05	-35.981	≤0.05	-43.672	≤0.05
β1,2	10.508	≤0.05	11.342	≤0.05	16.483	≤0.05	2.982	≤0.05	8.285	≤0.05	12.573	≤0.05	5.917	≤0.05
β2,2	9.344	≤0.05	11.119	≤0.05	11.846	≤0.05	4.691	≤0.05	9.332	≤0.05	7.871	≤0.05	-0.353	0.724
β1,3	-1.708	0.089	-3.850	≤0.05	-5.225	≤0.05	-0.379	0.705	-1.194	0.233	-2.440	0.015	-0.723	0.470
β2,3	-1.444	0.150	-2.046	0.041	-0.744	0.457	-0.847	0.398	-0.729	0.466	-0.645	0.519	0.047	0.963
β1,4	0.826	0.409	1.149	0.251	0.853	0.394	1.089	0.277	0.361	0.719	-0.358	0.721	1.708	0.088
β2,4	0.272	0.786	-1.441	0.150	-1.918	0.056	0.293	0.770	-0.343	0.732	-0.298	0.766	0.239	0.811
φ	0.514	0.514	0.565		0.639		0.474		0.518		0.586		0.533	
σε	0.419	0.419	0.347		0.328		0.482		0.480		0.416		0.612	
σω	0.004		0.004		0.005		0.005		0.005		0.005		0.007	
n*	22.898		20.630		21.616		19.129		27.662		30.382		24.656	

Parameter		lle	VIIf		VIIg		VIIh		VIIj		Viik	
	Value	p-value										
μ	12.8444	≤0.05	12.485	≤0.05	12.598	≤0.05	13.424	≤0.05	13.202	≤0.05	13.404	≤0.05
ω	0.030	≤0.05	0.027	0.063	0.025	0.070	0.021	0.091	0.018	0.107	0.018	0.081
β1,1	-41.883	≤0.05	-39.549	≤0.05	-33.528	≤0.05	-32.807	≤0.05	-29.831	≤0.05	-29.148	≤0.05
β2,1	-45.193	≤0.05	-51.054	≤0.05	-47.236	≤0.05	-43.894	≤0.05	-41.075	≤0.05	-37.003	≤0.05
β1,2	6.313	≤0.05	6.327	≤0.05	7.415	≤0.05	12.251	≤0.05	11.517	≤0.05	12.796	≤0.05
β2,2	7.376	≤0.05	8.368	≤0.05	11.483	≤0.05	12.040	≤0.05	9.485	≤0.05	7.035	≤0.05
β1,3	-1.550	0.122	-0.980	0.327	-0.252	0.801	-1.225	0.221	-0.864	0.388	-1.903	0.058
β2,3	-2.563	0.011	-2.160	0.031	-1.533	0.126	-1.213	0.226	-1.381	0.168	-1.186	0.236
β1 <i>,</i> 4	1.639	0.102	1.454	0.147	0.421	0.674	0.234	0.815	-0.047	0.962	-0.329	0.742
β2,4	0.828	0.408	0.982	0.327	0.648	0.517	0.875	0.382	0.764	0.445	0.410	0.682
φ	0.476		0.472		0.453		0.488		0.520		0.556	
σε	0.517		0.551		0.575		0.533		0.498		0.467	
σω	0.005		0.005		0.005		0.005		0.005		0.005	
n*	22.923		25.066		26.872		29.591		32.862		33.644	

ICES Division -	NAG	0	AM	0	EAP		
	n k	o-value ω		o-value ω		p-value	
study area	-0.453	≤0.001	3.770	≤0.001	0.036	0.757	
Vla	-0.356	≤0.001	3.027	≤0.001	0.056	0.515	
VIb	-0.346	≤0.001	2.954	≤0.001	0.038	0.620	
VIIa	-0.538	≤0.001	4.281	≤0.001	0.087	0.530	
VIIb	-0.445	≤0.001	3.645	≤0.001	-0.003	0.979	
VIIc	-0.382	≤0.001	3.036	≤0.001	-0.026	0.774	
VIId	-0.587	≤0.001	4.580	≤0.001	0.161	0.333	
VIIe	-0.463	≤0.001	3.966	≤0.001	0.082	0.538	
VIIf	-0.463	≤0.001	4.363	≤0.001	0.062	0.675	
VIIg	-0.534	≤0.001	3.966	≤0.001	0.005	0.973	
VIIh	-0.508	≤0.001	4.041	≤0.001	0.035	0.781	
VIIj	-0.457	≤0.001	3.645	≤0.001	-0.020	0.859	
VIIk	-0.413	≤0.001	3.359	≤0.001	-0.042	0.679	

Table 2 Relation of SST and NAO, AMO and EAP indices (ONI index  $\omega$ = -0.10, p-value=0.043) for the study area as well as for each ICES Divisions





Figure 2 Time series plots for Chl-a concentration in the ICES Divisions considered



Figure 3 Levelplots representing the temporal variation of Chl-a in each ICES Division

## For more information regarding this poster please refer to the ESA Special Publication Proceedings